

Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth, yield and quality of tomato

Pandiyan, R., V.A. Sathyamurthy and L. Pugalenth

Department of Vegetable Crops, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore - 641003, Tamil Nadu, India.

Corresponding author's E-mail: kalaivanipandiyan.74@rediffmail.com

Received: July 4, 2017

Accepted: September 2, 2017

Published: September 27, 2017

ABSTRACT

A field experiment was carried out to study the response of tomato to foliar application of micronutrients from 2011 to 2014 at the Department of Vegetable Crops, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Tamil Nadu Agricultural University, Coimbatore. The hybrid COTH 2 was chosen for this study. The results based on three years mean revealed that out of fourteen different treatments, Different combinations of all the micronutrients were applied in three replications. The pooled analysis revealed that among the different combinations. In tomato hybrid COTH 2, spraying of mixture of all micronutrients (Boric acid @ 100 ppm, ZnSo₄ @ 100 ppm, Ammonium molybdate @ 50 ppm. Copper sulphate @ 100 ppm, Ferrus sulphate @ 100 ppm, Manganese sulphate @ 100 ppm) (3 sprays at an interval of 40 days from DAP) recorded the highest plant height (95.7cm), number of fruits per plant (46.4) fruit weight (61.9g), fruit yield per plot (63.5kg) and highest yield of 564.1 q/ha followed by spraying of commercial formulation (Multiplex) (558.8 q/ha). The highest BC ratio of 3.04 was recorded in Mixture of all treatment which was followed by spraying of Zinc Sulphate @ 100 ppm (3.00).

Key words: Tomato hybrid, foliar application, micro nutrients, growth, yield.

Tomato (*Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill, 2n=24), a popular solanaceous vegetable crop, is cultivated throughout the world. It occupies prime place amongst the processed vegetables. It is one of the most highly praised vegetables consumed in salad as well as curry. In India, tomato is grown throughout the country. The leading tomato growing states are Karnataka, Andhra Pradesh, Orissa, Bihar and West Bengal. Tomato is a high yielding crop, for a good stand and yield of tomato, a rich and fertile soil is necessary. Although in recent times balanced nutrition to the crop plants is being advocated through the use of organic manures, but that may be helpful only for low yield levels. For harnessing the higher yield potential, supplementation of micronutrients is essential. Amongst the vegetables, tomato is very responsible to the application of micronutrients. The micronutrients improve the chemical composition of fruits and general condition of plants and are known to acts as catalyst in promoting organic reaction taking place in plants (Ranganathan and Perumal, 1995). Applications of micro- nutrients i.e. zinc and boron have been reported in increasing growth and

seed yield in tomato. However no information is available as regards to the effect of other micronutrients on vegetative and reproductive growth parameters of tomato. In order to study the effect of different micro nutrients viz., zinc, boron, molybdenum, copper, iron and manganese, application on tomato growth parameters, the present investigation was initiated.

Micronutrients are not only essential for better growth, yield and quality but also important like other major nutrients in spite of their requirement in micro quantity. These also helps in uptake of major nutrients and also vital to the growth of plants acting as catalyst in promoting various organic reaction from cell development to respiration, photosynthesis, chlorophyll formation, enzyme activity, hormones synthesis and nitrogen fixation. Considerable research work has been done on the aspect of foliar application of micronutrient indifferent crops and the experimental results indicated not only increase in yield up to 20 per cent but also helpful to sustain crop production. Arora *et al.* (1979) reported that micronutrients like boron, copper, molybdenum and

zinc through foliage can also improve the vegetative growth, fruit set and yield of tomato. Working with tomato, Mallick and Muthukrishnan (1980) reported that the role of micronutrients in the “nutrient element balance” of the plant is of considerable interest.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at the All India Co-Ordinated Vegetable Improvement

Project (AICVIP) at the Department of Vegetable Crops, Horticultural College and Research Institute, Coimbatore from April, 2010 to March, 2014. Field trials were laid out in randomized block design with fourteen treatments replicated thrice. Tomato hybrids CO TH 2 were taken for this study. The micronutrients viz., Boric acid @ 100 ppm, ZnSO₄ @ 100 ppm, Ammonium molybdate @ 50 ppm.

Table 1. Response of tomato to foliar application of micronutrients during *kharif* 2011-2012.

Treatments	Plant height(cm)	Fruits / plant	Fruit weight(g)	Fruit yield per plot (kg)	Yield / ha (q)	Shelf life (days)
T0. Control (without spray)	97	44.6	61.8	58.6	521.2	9.6
T1. Boric acid @ 100 ppm	96.6	46.4	62.6	60.2	535.3	10.3
T2. Zinc sulphate @ 100 ppm	97.9	45.9	62.5	60.9	542.4	9.5
T3. Ammonium molybdate @ 50 ppm	95.4	43.5	61.4	58.4	520.2	9.5
T4. Copper sulphate @ 100 ppm	96.4	45.6	61.9	60	534.3	9.4
T5. Ferrous sulphate @ 100 ppm	98.3	47	62	61.6	548.4	9.3
T6. Manganese sulphate @ 100 ppm	97.4	46.4	62.2	61	543.4	9.4
T7. Mixture of all	98.9	47.8	63.8	63.8	567.6	10.5
T8. Mixture of all without B	97	45.1	62.1	59.3	528.2	9.5
T9. Mixture of all without Zn	97.5	45.6	62.5	60.6	539.3	10.4
T10. Mixture of all without Mo	98.7	47	63.3	61.8	550.5	10.6
T11. Mixture of all without Cu	98.9	46.9	63.7	62.4	555.5	10.6
T12. Mixture of all without Fe	97.9	45.2	63.2	60.6	539.3	10.7
T13. Mixture of all without Mn	97.4	45.6	64.2	62.2	553.5	10.6
T14. Commercial formulation (Multiplex)	98.8	46.5	63.7	62.8	559.5	10.5
CD (P=0.05)	1.965	1.214	1.354	1.284	10.256	0.086
CV %	5.69	6.98	8.56	6.54	6.54	6

Table 2. Response of tomato to foliar application of micronutrients during *kharif* 2012-13

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Fruits / plant	Fruit weight (g)	Fruit yield per plot (kg)	Yield / ha (q)	Shelf life (days)
T0. Control (without spray)	95.9	44.2	61.2	58	516	9.5
T1. Boric acid @ 100 ppm	95.5	45.9	62	59.6	530	10.2
T2. Zinc sulphate @ 100 ppm	96.8	45.4	61.9	60.3	537	9.4
T3. Ammonium molybdate @ 50 ppm	94.4	43.1	60.8	57.8	515	9.4
T4. Copper sulphate @ 100 ppm	95.3	45.1	61.3	59.4	529	9.3
T5. Ferrous sulphate @ 100 ppm	97.2	46.5	61.4	61	543	9.25
T6. Manganese sulphate @ 100 ppm	96.3	45.9	61.6	60.4	538	9.35
T7. Mixture of all	97.8	47.3	63.2	63.2	562	10.4
T8. Mixture of all without B	95.9	44.7	61.5	58.7	523	9.4
T9. Mixture of all without Zn	96.4	45.1	61.9	60	534	10.3
T10. Mixture of all without Mo	97.6	46.5	62.7	61.2	545	10.45
T11. Mixture of all without Cu	97.8	46.4	63.1	61.8	550	10.55
T12. Mixture of all without Fe	96.8	44.8	62.6	60	534	10.6
T13. Mixture of all without Mn	96.3	45.1	63.6	61.6	548	10.45
T14. Commercial formulation (Multiplex)	97.7	46	63.1	62.2	554	10.4
CD (P=0.05)	1.854	1.071	1.245	1.201	12.564	0.085
CV %	5.05	6.21	9.28	6.5	6.5	4.8

Table 3. Response of tomato to foliar application of micronutrients during *kharif* 2013-14

Treatments	Plant height (cm)	Fruits / plant	Fruit weight(g)	Fruit yield per plot (kg)	Yield / ha (q)	Shelf life (days)
T0. Control (without spray)	85.6	39.5	54.6	51.8	460.6	9.3
T1. Boric acid @ 100 ppm	85.5	40	55.4	53.2	473.3	10.2
T2. Zinc sulphate @ 100 ppm	86.4	40.5	55.3	53.8	478.9	9.4
T3. Ammonium molybdate @ 50 ppm	84.8	39.6	54.3	51.6	459.5	9.3
T4. Copper sulphate @ 100 ppm	85	40.3	54.7	53	471.9	9.5
T5. Ferrous sulphate @ 100 ppm	86.8	41.5	54.8	54.5	485	9.5
T6. Manganese sulphate @ 100 ppm	86.2	41	55	53.9	480	9.4
T7. Mixture of all	87.6	42.4	56.4	55.9	497.6	10.5
T8. Mixture of all without B	85.6	39.9	54.9	52.5	466.8	9.4
T9. Mixture of all without Zn	86.2	40.3	55.3	53.6	476.7	10.4
T10. Mixture of all without Mo	87.1	41	56	54.6	486.1	10.5
T11. Mixture of all without Cu	87.3	41.4	56.3	55.9	497.6	10.5
T12. Mixture of all without Fe	86.3	39.8	55.9	53.6	476.6	10.6
T13. Mixture of all without Mn	86	40.3	56.8	55	489.3	10.5
T14. Commercial formulation (Multiplex)	87	41.1	56.3	55.5	494	10.4
CD (P=0.05)	0.932	0.374	0.244	0.576	12.639	0.12
CV %	5.26	6.13	6.54	4.31	4.31	6.48

Table 4. Pooled mean of the trial on to study the response of tomato to foliar application of micronutrients during 2011-14

Treatments	Plant height(cm)	Fruits / plant	Fruit weight(g)	Fruit yield per plot (kg)	Yield / ha (q)	Shelf life(days)	BC ratio
T0. Control (without spray)	93.3	43.4	59.7	58.3	519.5	9.5	2.87
T1. Boric acid @ 100 ppm	93.3	44.6	60.8	60.1	535.9	10.3	2.9
T2. Zinc sulphate @ 100 ppm	94.6	44.4	60.9	60.9	543.3	9.5	3
T3. Ammonium molybdate @ 50 ppm	92.4	42.9	59.6	58.2	519.9	9.4	2.74
T4. Copper sulphate @ 100 ppm	93	44.1	59.9	59.6	531.6	9.4	2.8
T5. Ferrous sulphate @ 100 ppm	95	45.6	60.3	61.5	548.9	9.4	2.99
T6. Manganese sulphate @ 100 ppm	94.2	44.8	60.3	60.7	541.4	9.4	2.85
T7. Mixture of all	95.7	46.4	61.9	63.5	564.1	10.5	3.04
T8. Mixture of all without B	93.6	43.9	60.4	59.3	529.3	9.4	2.86
T9. Mixture of all without Zn	94.3	44.1	60.8	60.6	540.8	10.4	2.96
T10. Mixture of all without Mo	95.2	45.1	61	61	543.9	10.5	2.86
T11. Mixture of all without Cu	95.4	45.2	61.5	61.9	552.5	10.6	2.91
T12. Mixture of all without Fe	94.5	44.2	61.2	60.6	540.7	10.7	2.95
T13. Mixture of all without Mn	94.2	44.2	61.9	61.4	547.2	10.5	2.86
T14. Commercial formulation (Multiplex)	95.4	45.1	61.7	62.5	558.8	10.4	2.99
CD (P=0.05)	1.256	0.458	0.364	0.845	13.645	0.185	
CV %	6.36	6.85	6.74	4.95	4.36	7.25	

Copper sulphate @ 100 ppm, Ferrous sulphate @ 100 ppm, Manganese sulphate @ 100 ppm were sprayed individually and in combinations on tomato with three sprays at an interval of 10 days starting from 40 days after transplanting. A control without spray and commercial formulation (multiplex)

@ 4ml/lit also included in the treatment combinations to compare the results. The experiment was laid out in RBD with three replications. 25 days old tomato seedlings raised in the pro trays were transplanted at a spacing of 75 x 60 cm with the plot size of 3.0 x 3.6 m. The results of the three year trials were presented in

the Table 1, 2 and 3 and the pooled mean data are presented in Table 4.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Response of tomato to foliar application of micronutrients during *kharif* 2011-12. The results revealed that the treatment mixture of all micronutrients recorded the highest plant height (98.8 cm), fruits per plant (47.8), fruit weight (63.8g), fruit yield per plot (63.8 kg), yield per ha (567.6 q). The highest fruits weight 64.2g was recorded in mixture of all without Mn spray. Mixture of all without Fe registered the highest shelf life of 10.7 days. While, control and commercial formulation recorded the yield of 521.2 and 559.5 q/ha respectively. Response of tomato to foliar application of micronutrients during *kharif* 2012-13. The results revealed that the highest plant height of 97.8 cm was recorded on the treatment T7 (Mixture of all) and T 8 (Mixture of all without Cu). The highest number of fruits per plant (63.6) recorded in the treatment Mixture of all without Mn and the highest yield per plot (63.2 kg) and yield per ha (562 q) recorded in the Treatment T7 (Mixture of all). The T14 (commercial formulation) registered the yield of 554 q/ha while the control recorded the yield of 516 q/ha registered the highest yield of 562q/ha followed by T 14 commercial formulation (554 q/ha). The treatment T12 (Mixture of all without Fe) recorded the highest shelf life of 10.60 days in ambient condition. Response of tomato to foliar application of micronutrients during *kharif* 2013-14. The highest plant height (87.6 cm), fruits per plant (42.4) and fruit weight (56.4 g) recorded in the treatment T7 (Mixture of all). The treatment T7 (Mixture of all) and T11 (mixture of all without Cu) registered the highest yield of 497.6 q/ha followed by commercial formulation (494.0 q/ha). The treatment T12 (Mixture of all without Fe) recorded the highest shelf life of 10.6 days in ambient condition. While, the control recorded the yield of 460.6 q/ha. The pooled data of three years trials revealed that among the different treatment combination sprayed in the Tomato hybrid COTH 2, spraying of mixture of all micronutrients (Boric acid @100 ppm, ZnSO₄ @ 100 ppm, Ammonium molybdate @ 50 ppm. Copper sulphate @ 100 ppm, Ferrussulphate @ 100 ppm, Manganese sulphate @ 100 ppm) (3 sprays at an interval of 40 days from DAP) recorded the highest plant height (95.7 cm), number of fruits per plant (46.4), fruit weight (61.9 g), fruit yield per plot (63.5 kg) and yield/ha (564.1 q).

The treatment T14 (commercial formulation (Multiplex)) recorded the yield of 558.8 q / ha. The highest BC ratio of 3.04 was recorded in Mixture of all treatment which was followed by spraying of Zinc Sulphate @ 100 ppm (3.00). Boron is associated with the development of cell wall and cell differentiation and hence, helps in root elongation and shoot growth of plant. The need of boron has been emphasized earlier for normal growth of tomato plant by Johnson and Fisher (1930). All the micronutrient treatments except manganese and iron were found significantly effective in increasing fruits per plant and fruit weight (g). Improvement in growth characters as a result of application of micronutrients might be due to the enhanced photosynthetic and other metabolic activity which leads to an increase in various plant metabolites responsible for cell division and elongation as opined by Hatwar *et al.* (2003). The photosynthesis enhanced in presence of zinc and boron was also reported by Rawat and Mathpal (1984). Mallick and Muthukrishnan (1979) explained that presence of zinc activates the synthesis of tryptophan, the precursor of IAA and it is responsible to stimulate plant growth. Iron plays an important role in promoting growth characters, being a component of ferredoxin, an electron transport protein and is associated with chloroplast. It helps in photosynthesis might have helped in better vegetative growth (Hazra *et al.*, 1987). The effect of boron application was more pronounced followed by mixture of micronutrients showing an increase of 35 percent in yield over control. The yield per ha was increased with boron application as reported by Govindan (1952). Increased yield due to micronutrients application may be attributed to enhanced photosynthesis activity and increased production and accumulation of carbohydrates and favorable effect on vegetative growth and retention of flowers and fruits, which increased number of fruits per plant besides increasing the size. Similarly, the Kumbhar and Deshmukh (1993) and Bose and Tripathi (1996) revealed that the increased dry matter production may be attributed to greater accumulation of photosynthates by vegetative parts and fruits in tomato.

CONCLUSION

From the above study, it could be concluded, that the pooled data of three years trials revealed that among the different treatment combination sprayed in the Tomato hybrid COTH 2, spraying of mixture of all

micronutrients (Boric acid @100 ppm, ZnSO₄ @ 100 ppm, Ammoniummolybdate @ 50 ppm. Copper sulphate @ 100 ppm, Ferrous sulphate @ 100 ppm, Manganese sulphate @ 100 ppm) (3 sprays at an interval of 40 days from DAP) recorded the highest plant height (95.7 cm), number of fruits per plant (46.4), fruit weight (61.9 g), fruit yield per plot (63.5 kg) and yield/ha (564.1 q). The treatment T14 (commercial formulation (Multiplex)) recorded the yield of 558.8 q / ha. The highest BC ratio of 3.04 was recorded in Mixture of all treatment which was followed by spraying of Zinc Sulphate @ 100 ppm (3.00).

REFERENCES

- Arora, S.K., M.L. Pandita and S.C. Pandey. 1979. Effect of plant growth regulator and micronutrients on the fruit set, early and total yield of tomato variety HS-102 (*L. esculentum* Mill.) during summer season. In: National Seminar On "Physiological Basis of Crop productivity and Harvesting Solar Energy in relation to Agric. Dev.' At AMU, Aligarh, p716.
- Bose, U.S. and S.K. Tripathi. 1996, Effect of micronutrients on growth, yield and quality of tomato cv. Pusa Ruby in M.P. *Crop Res.*, 12:61-66.
- Govindan, P.R., 1952. Influence of boron on yield and content of Carbohydrates in tomato fruits. *Curr. Sci.*, 21:14-15.
- Hazra, P., T.K. Maity and A.R. Mandal. 1987. Effect of foliar application of micronutrients on growth and yield of okra (*Abelmoschus esculentus* L). *Prog. Hort.*, 19: 219-222.
- Johnson, E.S. and P.L. Fisher. 1930. The essential nature of boron to the growth and fruiting of tomato. *Plant Phys.*, 5:387-392.
- Kumbhar, V.S and S.S. Deshmukh. 1993. Effect of soil application of ferrous sulphate on the uptake of nutrients, yield and quality of tomato Cv. Rupali. *Sou. Ind. Hort.*, 41:144-147.
- Mallick, M.F.R. and C.R. Muthukrishnan. 1980. Effect of micronutrient on tomato *Lycopersicon esculentum* Mill.). *South Indian Hort.*, 28(1): 14-20.
- Ranganathan DS and R. Perumal. 1995. Effect of micronutrients with/without organics and biofertilizers on growth and development of tomato in inceptisol and alfisol. *South Indian Hort.*, 43 (3&4): 89-92.